Interview Summary

Application No.

09/678,580

Applicant(s)

DANIEL A. JAPUNTICH ET AL.

Examiner

AARON J. LEWIS

Group Art Unit 3761

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) AARON J. LEWIS	(3) JOHN G. WEISS
(2) KARL G. HANSON	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 5, 2002	· -
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \(\mathbb{N} \) Yes \(e) \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) No. If yes, brief description: \(MR. HANSEN DEMONSTRATED A MODEL OF THE INSTANT APPLICATION. \)	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>33-58 and 60-67</u>	
Identification of prior art discussed: SIMPSON ET AL.; FRENCH PATENT ('475); MCKIM ('618)	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	d. g) was not reached. h NA.
•	OF THE COMBINATION OF PRIOR ART TO SIMPSON ET AL.
AND FRENCH PATENT ('475) IS NOT PROPER. THEREFO.	RE, FINALITY OF THE OFFICE ACTION (1/02/2002) WILL BE
WITHDRAWN AND PROSECUTION WILL BE REOPENED.	
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
\cdot i) \square It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

AARON J. LEWIS **PRIMARY EXAMINER**

ART UNIT 3761